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## Glossary of terms

The following are terms and abbreviations commonly used throughout this study:

| Code | Translation | Description | Formula (if applicable) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AMPH | Average maximum potential height | Average maximum potential height - Expressed as an RL - determined by Sun Access Planes (SAP), No Additional Overshadowing (NAO) controls and aeronautical PANS OPS surfaces) |  |
| EMFS | Existing Maximum Floor Space | Existing maximum floor space under SLEP 2012 |  |
| FSE | Floor Space Efficiency |  |  |
| FSES | Floor Space Employment Survey | Floor Space Employment Survey conducted by the City of Sydney in 2012 |  |
| FSES_FS | Floor Space Counted from the Floor Space Employment Survey | Floor Space Counted on the same block extent from the Floor Space Employment Survey conducted by the City of Sydney in 2012 |  |
| HE | Height Efficiency | percentage of a counted floor (supporting floor space) per metre of height |  |
| High TVE | High Tower Volumetric Efficiency | derived by multiplying the height efficiency by the high horizontal efficiency | High TVE=HE $\times$ MOD_E |
| HIGH_E | High Efficiency | a percentage of floor space efficiency derived through a series of reductions for elevational tapering, articulation, facade and cores | 62.30\% |
| HSE | Horizontal Sectional Efficiency | floor space per counted floor | If $\mathrm{PHC}=$ PANS OPS then $\mathrm{RCZ}=30 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| MH_AGL | Maximum Height Above Ground Level | The maximum height above ground level across the site, derived by subtracting the site ground level from the average maximum potential height. | MH_AGL = AMPH - SGL |
| MOD_E | Moderate Efficiency | a percentage of floor space efficiency derived through a series of reductions for elevational tapering, articulation, facade and cores | 51.30\% |
| Moderate TVE | Moderate Tower <br> Volumetric <br> Efficiency | derived by multiplying the height efficiency by the moderate horizontal efficiency | Moderate TVE=HE x MOD_E |
| MTZA | Maximum Tower Zone Area | the greatest area that a tower zone can be | 4000m2 |
| NAO | No Additional Overshadowing | building height control defined by fans that protect sunlight access to open spaces |  |
| NAO AUS SQ |  | No Additional Overshadowing Fan Protecting Australia Square |  |
| NAO MACQPL |  | No Additional Overshadowing Fan Protecting Macquarie Place |  |
| NAO MP |  | No Additional Overshadowing Fan Protecting Martin Place |  |
| NAO PSM |  | No Additional Overshadowing Fan Protecting Pitt Street Mall |  |
| NAO THS |  | No Additional Overshadowing Fan Protecting Town Hall Square |  |


| Code | Translation | Description | Formula (if applicable) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PANS OPS |  | Procedures for air navigation services - aircraft operation |  |
| PFS | Podium Floor Space | derived by multiplying the podium zone volume by the podium volumetric efficiency | $P F S=P Z V \times$ PVE |
| PHC | Prevailing Height Control | the height control that affects the site at the lowest height above ground level |  |
| PVE | Podium Volumetric Efficiency | derived by multiplying the height efficiency by the high horizontal efficiency | PVE=HE $\times$ HIGH_E |
| PZA | Podium Zone Area | Area taken up by the podium - Equal to the site area | $P Z A=S A$ |
| PZH | Podium Zone Height | The assumed height of the Podium Form | $\mathrm{PZH}=25 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| PZV | Podium Zone Volume | derived by multiplying the podium zone area (PZA) by the podium zone height (PZH) | $P Z V=P Z A \times P Z H$ |
| RCZ | Roof Construction Zone | 15 m where the development height is limited by a sun control and 30m where it is limited by the PANS OPS | If $\mathrm{PHC}=\mathrm{NAO}$ or SAP then $\mathrm{RCZ}=15 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| SA | Site area | Site Area |  |
| SAP | Sun Access Plane | building height control defined by planes that protect sunlight access to open spaces |  |
| SAP BELPK |  | Sun Access Plane Protecting Belmore Park |  |
| SAP DH |  | Sun Access Plane Protecting Darling Harbour |  |
| SAP HP |  | Sun Access Plane Protecting Hyde Park |  |
| SAP MP |  | Sun Access Plane Protecting Martin Place |  |
| SAP WYN PARK |  | Sun Access Plane Protecting Wynyard Park |  |
| SGL | Site Ground Level | Highest site ground level - Expressed as an RL |  |
| SP | Site Perimeter | Site Perimeter |  |
| TFS | Tower Floor Space | derived by multiplying the tower zone volume (TZV) by the tower volumetric efficiency (TVE) | TFS $=$ TZV $\times$ (high TVE or moderate TVE) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL_FS High } \\ & \text { TOTAL_FS } \\ & \text { Moderate } \end{aligned}$ | Total Floor Space | derived by adding the podium floor space (PFS) and tower floor space (TFS, high and moderate) values together | TOTAL_FS $=$ PFS + TFS |
| TZA | Tower Zone Area | derived by taking the site area (SA) and subtracting the site perimeter (SP) multiplied by the average required setback (ARS) of 8 m from the height, setbacks and massing policy and then adding a constant (C) of 256 to account for the double subtraction at corners of the site | TZA $=S A-(S P \times A R S)+C$ |
| TZH | Tower Zone Height | derived by taking the average maximum potential height (AMPH) and subtracting the highest site ground level (SGL), the podium zone height (PZH) and a roof/construction zone (RCZ) | TZH $=$ AMPH $-\mathrm{SGL}-\mathrm{PZH}-\mathrm{RCZ}$ |
| TZV | Tower Zone Volume | derived by multiplying the tower zone area (TZA) by the tower zone height (TZH) | TZV = TZA $\times$ TZH |
| VE | Volumetric Efficiency | Taken together the height efficiency multiplied by the horizontal sectional efficiency yield a volumetric efficiency. | $\mathrm{VE}=\mathrm{HE} \times \mathrm{HSE}$ |
|  | Potential Amalgamation |  |  |

## Introduction

This capacity study sets out potential floor space growth under the propososed growth strategy where building heights are limited by sun and airport controls and maximum floor space is aligned with height and other built form controls.

## Methodology

The capacity calculation methodology developed in this report has three main steps:

1. Identify potential sites that could be amalgamated to create additional capacity within the city
2. Calculate potential high and moderate floor space growth scenarios
3. Compare the identified site yeilds with existing controls

## Formula

The potential floor space growth is calculated by making generic assumptions about floor space efficiency within the permitted tower zone that relates to setbacks required under the strategy. The tower Zone is calculated using a Formula

The main inputs into the formula are:

- Site area (SA)
- Site perimeter (SP)
- Highest site ground level (SGL, expressed as an RL)
- Average maximum potential height (AMPH, expressed as an RL - determined by Sun Access Planes (SAP), No Additional Overshadowing (NAO) controls and aeronautical PANS OPS surfaces)

The tower zone volume is multiplied by a development efficiency to calculate floor space.

- The floor space efficiency incorporates:
- Height efficiency - percentage of a counted floor (supporting floor space) per metre of height
- Horizontal sectional efficiency - floor space per counted floor
Taken together the height efficiency multiplied by the horizontal sectional efficiency yield a volumetric efficiency.


## Floor space efficiency

## Height efficiency

Height efficiency reflects the number of floors containing floor space within a given height in metres converted to the abstract equivalent percentage of a single floor containing floor space in a single metre of height.

It is assumed that for every 20 commercial floors at a floor to floor height of 3.85 m that there will be a plant level with no floor space attributed of 6 m height (Illustrated in B_01). This yields a floor containing floor space on average every 4.15 m or $24.1 \%$ of a single floor containing floor space for every metre of height of the tower/podium zone volume (i.e. the inverse $24.1 \%$ $=1 / 4.15$ ).

## B_01

Height Efficiency - General Commercial Section


## Horizontal sectional efficiency

Horizontal sectional efficiency reflects the amount of floor space expected on a floor containing floor space within the horizontal area of the tower/podium zone volume (refer to B_02 and B_03).

It is assumed that the amount of floor space reflects a compounding series of reductions as described in B_05 and illustrated in B_04.

## B 02

Horizontal section through the tower volume


## B_03

Section representing a notional floor


## Volumetric efficiency

The volumetric efficiency is given by multiplying the height efficiency by the horizontal sectional efficiency
The podium volumetric efficiency (PVE) is derived by multiplying the height efficiency by the high horizontal efficiency, i.e. $24.1 \%$ times $62.3 \%$ is equal to $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$.

The high tower volumetric efficiency (high TVE) is derived by multiplying the height efficiency by the high horizontal efficiency, i.e. $24.1 \%$ times $62.3 \%$ is equal to $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$

The moderate tower volumetric efficiency (moderate TVE) is derived by multiplying the height efficiency by the moderate horizontal efficiency, i.e. $24.1 \%$ times $51.3 \%$ is equal to $\mathbf{1 2 . 4 \%}$.

## B_05

Floor Space within Zone Sectional Area

| Description of reduction | Method of defining reduction |
| :--- | :--- |
| $10 \%$ for elevational tapering | multiplier $=100 \%-10 \%=90 \%$ |
| $30 \%$ building articulation for moderate efficiency | multiplier $=100 \%-30 \%=70 \%$ |
| $15 \%$ building articulation for high efficiency (and podiums) | multiplier $=100 \%-15 \%=85 \%$ |
| $3 \%$ façade depth | multiplier $=100 \%-3 \%=97 \%$ |
| $16 \%$ building core exclusions | $90 \% \times \mathbf{7 0 \%} \times 97 \% \times 84 \%=51.3 \%$ |
| A moderate efficiency floor containing floor space therefore has an efficiency of $51.3 \%$. | $90 \% \times \mathbf{8 5 \%} \times 97 \% \times 84 \%=62.3 \%$ |
| A high efficiency floor containing floor space where $15 \%$ is substituted for building articulation <br> therefore has an efficiency of $62.3 \%$ |  |

## B_04

Floor Space within Zone Sectional Area


## Description of the capacity formula

| The Podium |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| The podium zone area (PZA) is equal to the site area (SA). | $\mathrm{PZA}=\mathrm{SA}$ |
| The podium zone height (PZH) is assumed to be 25m. | $\mathrm{PZH}=25$ |
| The podium zone volume (PZV) is derived by multiplying the podium zone area (PZA) by <br> the podium zone height (PZH). See B_06. | $\mathrm{PZV}=\mathrm{PZA} \times$ PZH |
| The podium floor space $(\mathrm{PFS})$ is derived by multiplying the podium zone volume (PZV) by <br> the podium volumetric efficiency (PVE) assumed to be 15\% (from above). | $\mathrm{PFS}=\mathrm{PZV} \times$ PVE |

## B_06

Podium Zone height and area and Podium Zone Volume


B_07
Elements of the formula


| The Tower |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| The area of the tower zone area (TZA) is derived by taking the site area (SA) and subtracting the site perimeter (SP) multiplied by the average required setback (ARS) of 8 m from the height, setbacks and massing policy and then adding a constant (C) of 256 to account for the double subtraction at corners of the site. See B_08. <br> Testing indicates that this approach very strongly approximates the tower zone area as measured. | $T Z A=S A-(S P \times A R S)+C$ <br> Substitution gives $T Z A=S A-(S P \times 8)+256$ |
| Where the tower zone area (TZA) is greater than the maximum tower zone area (MTZA) of $4,000 \mathrm{~m} 2$ the site is split into two sites (See B_09). If the resulting two sites together yielded a greater total tower zone area then this value is used, otherwise the maximum tower zone area is used. | If TZA > MTZA (4000) then split into two sites (resulting in TZA1 and TZA2). If TZA1 + TZA2 > MTZA (4000) then let TZA = TZA1 +TZA2 <br> Otherwise let TZA $=$ MTZA $=4000$ |
| The tower zone height (TZH) is derived by taking the average maximum potential height (AMPH) and subtracting the highest site ground level (SGL), the podium zone height ( PZH ) and a roof/construction zone ( RCZ ) that is 15 m where the development height is limited by a sun control and 30 m where it is limited by the PANS OPS. See B_07. | $\mathrm{TZH}=\mathrm{AMPH}-\mathrm{SGL}-\mathrm{PZH}-\mathrm{RCZ}$ <br> Where PZH = 25 from above <br> Where AMPH is limited by sun control(s) the result is $\mathrm{TZH}=\mathrm{AMPH}-\mathrm{SGL}-40$ <br> Where AMPH is limited by PANS OPS the result is $\mathrm{TZH}=\mathrm{AMPH}-\mathrm{SGL}-55$ |
| The tower zone volume (TZV) is derived by multiplying the tower zone area (TZA) by the tower zone height (TZH). See B_10. | TZV $=$ TZA $\times$ TZH |
| The tower floor space (TFS) is derived by multiplying the tower zone volume (TZV) by the tower volumetric efficiency (TVE), high - $15 \%$ and moderate $-12.4 \%$ values are used to establish an expected range. | TFS $=$ TZV $\times$ TVE |
|  | Substitution gives $\begin{aligned} & \text { TFS }=(\text { SA }-(S P \times 8)+256) \times(\text { AMPH }- \text { SGL }-(40 \text { or } \\ & 55)) \times(15 \% \text { or } 12.4 \%) \end{aligned}$ |

## B_08

Tower Zone Area

## B_09

Tower Zone Areas over 4000m²

B_10
Tower Zone Volume


| The total floor space (TOTAL_FS) in the development is derived by adding the podium floor space (PFS) and tower floor space (TFS, high and moderate) values together. | TOTAL_FS = PFS + TFS |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Substitution gives $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL_FS }=((S A \times 25) \times 15 \%)+((S A-(S P \times 8)+ \\ & 256) \times(\text { AMPH }-S G L-(40 \text { or } 55)) \times(15 \% \text { or } 12.4 \%)) \end{aligned}$ |

## Results

## Part 1 - Site Identification

Potential site amalgamations are identified that do not include:

- heritage items
- residential strata schemes (unless $50 \%$ of the scheme is in single ownership)
- very small sites isolated by one or more of the above and hence unable to amalgamate to a site able to develop a tower and provide required setbacks

See B_11 for constrained sites. Potential Amalgamations are shown in B_12 Site Identification.

Detailed site constraints can be found in Figures B_18 to B_20 at the end of this document.

## B_11

Constrained Sites Analysis
Major Development Parks and Public Spaces
Sydney LEP 2005
Strata Sites
Recent Development Isolated Sites Heritage Items


Site Identification

## Amalgamatlon ode



## Part 2 - Floor Space Growth Scenarios

The commercial development capacity of each identified site for is calculated using a generalised formula described previously.

The complete results are presented in B_14.
The codes for Height Controls are explained in B_13.

B_13
Explanation of Codes for Prevailing Height Controls

Sun Access Plane Controls (SAP)

| Height <br> Control Code | Height Control Name |
| :---: | :---: |
| SAP WYN <br> PARK | Wynyard Park |
| SAP DH | Darling Harbour |
| SAP MP | Martin Place |
| SAP HP | Hyde Park |
| SAP <br> BELPK | Belmore Park |

No Additional Overshadowing Controls (NAO)

| Height <br> Control Code | Height Control Name |
| :---: | :---: |
| NAO THS | Town Hall Square |
| NAO AUS SQ | Australia Square |
| NAO MACQPL | Macquarie Place |
| NAO PSM | Pitt Street Mall |
| NAO MP | Martin Place |

## Airport Controls (PANS OPS)

| Height <br> Control Code | Height Control Name |
| :---: | :---: |
| PANS OPS | Procedures for air navigation services - aircraft operation |

## B_14

Commercial Development Capacity of identified sites

| Map Reference | Precinct | Site Area (sqm) | Site Perimeter (m) | Highest Site Ground Level (RL AHD) | Average Maximum Potential Height (RL AHD) | Maximum Height Above Ground (m) | Prevailing Height Control | Roof/ Construction Zone (m) | Tower <br> Zone <br> Area <br> (sqm) | Total Floor Space High (sqm) | Floor <br> Space <br> Ratio <br> High <br> (X:1) | Total Floor Space Moderate (sqm) | Floor Space Ratio Moderate (X:1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SA | SP | SGL | AMPH | MH_AGL | PHC | RCZ | TZA | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL_FS } \\ \text { high } \end{gathered}\right.$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL_FS } \\ & \bmod \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 011 A | Western | 7,860 | 525 | 4 | 254 | 250 | SAP WYN PARK | 15 | 3,912 | 152,417 | 19.4 | 131,107 | 16.7 |
| 48 | Western | 4,224 | 301 | 20 | 167 | 147 | SAP WYN PARK | 15 | 2,070 | 49,057 | 11.6 | 43,299 | 10.3 |
| 113B | Western | 5,467 | 320 | 5 | 102 | 97 | SAP DH | 15 | 3,163 | 47,498 | 8.7 | 42,819 | 7.8 |
| 113 C | Western | 5,415 | 347 | 6 | 193 | 187 | SAP DH | 15 | 2,892 | 84,083 | 15.5 | 73,028 | 13.5 |
| 49 A | Western | 7,274 | 363 | 13 | 307 | 294 | SAP DH | 15 | 4,628 | 179,678 | 24.7 | 153,262 | 21.1 |
| 50 A | Western | 6,823 | 397 | 20 | 177 | 157 | SAP WYNPK | 15 | 3,901 | 94,056 | 13.8 | 82,188 | 12.0 |
| 61 A1 | Western | 4,967 | 275 | 17 | 302 | 285 | SAP DH | 15 | 3,022 | 129,442 | 26.1 | 110,234 | 22.2 |
| 61 A2 | Western | 4,851 | 326 | 18 | 302 | 284 | SAP DH | 15 | 2,500 | 109,505 | 22.6 | 93,677 | 19.3 |
| 62 A | Western | 5,096 | 321 | 21 | 330 | 309 | PANS OPS | 30 | 2,780 | 125,046 | 24.5 | 106,684 | 20.9 |
| 73 A | Western | 2,835 | 295 | 14 | 245 | 231 | NAO THS | 15 | 733 | 31,634 | 11.2 | 27,994 | 9.9 |
| 115 | Western | 4,294 | 317 | 16 | 313 | 297 | PANS OPS | 30 | 2,012 | 89,132 | 20.8 | 76,474 | 17.8 |
| 26 A1 | City Core | 3,633 | 245 | 15 | 215 | 200 | $\begin{gathered} \text { NAO AUS } \\ \text { SQ } \end{gathered}$ | 15 | 1,926 | 59,712 | 16.4 | 51,723 | 14.2 |
| 26 A2 | City Core | 4,079 | 263 | 17 | 189 | 172 | $\begin{gathered} \text { NAO AUS } \\ \text { SQ } \end{gathered}$ | 15 | 2,229 | 59,265 | 14.5 | 51,644 | 12.7 |
| 27 | City Core | 5,541 | 330 | 15 | 217 | 202 | NAO AUS SQ | 15 | 3,159 | 97,537 | 17.6 | 84,232 | 15.2 |
| 28 A | City Core | 8,330 | 411 | 6 | 217 | 211 | $\begin{gathered} \text { NAO } \\ \text { MACQPL } \end{gathered}$ | 15 | 5,297 | 133,838 | 16.1 | 116,054 | 13.9 |
| 28 C | City Core | 4,364 | 267 | 4 | 330 | 326 | PANS OPS | 30 | 2,488 | 117,502 | 26.9 | 99,972 | 22.9 |
| 29 C | City Core | 3,085 | 240 | 3 | 330 | 327 | PANS OPS | 30 | 1,423 | 69,624 | 22.6 | 59,561 | 19.3 |
| 34 A | City Core | 8,333 | 363 | 20 | 216 | 196 | SAP WYN PARK | 15 | 5,682 | 124,549 | 14.9 | 108,377 | 13.0 |
| 43 B | City Core | 3,692 | 239 | 18 | 271 | 253 | NAO PSM | 15 | 2,036 | 78,882 | 21.4 | 67,609 | 18.3 |
| 44 A | City Core | 6,759 | 372 | 13 | 315 | 302 | NAO PSM | 15 | 4,040 | 182,246 | 27.0 | 155,050 | 22.9 |
| 46 | City Core | 5,659 | 361 | 9 | 193 | 184 | SAP WYN PARK | 15 | 3,024 | 86,322 | 15.3 | 75,038 | 13.3 |
| 55 A1 | City Core | 4,299 | 291 | 13 | 203 | 190 | SAP MP | 15 | 2,224 | 65,994 | 15.4 | 57,349 | 13.3 |
| 54 A | City Core | 6,935 | 400 | 13 | 200 | 187 | NAO MP | 15 | 3,987 | 113,622 | 16.4 | 98,436 | 14.2 |

B_14 Continued
Commercial Development Capacity of identified sites

| Map Reference | Precinct | Site Area (sqm) | Site Perimeter (m) | Highest Site Ground Level (RL AHD) | Average <br> Maximum <br> Potential <br> Height <br> (RL AHD) | Maximum Height Above Ground (m) | Prevailing Height Control | Roof/ Construction Zone ( $m$ ) | Tower Zone Area (sqm) | Total Floo Space High (sqm) | Floor <br> Space <br> Ratio <br> High <br> (X:1) | Total Floor Space Moderate (sqm) | Floor Space Ratio Moderate (X:1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SA | SP | SGL | AMPH | MH_AGL | PHC | RCZ | TZA | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL_FS } \\ \text { high } \end{gathered}\right.$ |  | TOTAL_FS mod |  |
| 55 A2 | City Core | 4,422 | 320 | 19 | 170 | 151 | SAP MP | 15 | 2,119 | 51,702 | 11.7 | 45,614 | 10.3 |
| 76 D | City Core <br> South | 2,393 | 212 | 22 | 241 | 219 | NAO THS | 15 | 952 | 34,465 | 14.4 | 30,046 | 12.6 |
| 89 | City Core <br> South | 2,180 | 189 | 19 | 202 | 183 | NAO THS | 15 | 927 | 28,051 | 12.9 | 24,606 | 11.3 |
| 96 | Midtown | 4,429 | 299 | 24 | 233 | 209 | SAP HP | 15 | 2,295 | 74,775 | 16.9 | 64,693 | 14.6 |
| 116 B | Midtown | 3,366 | 277 | 20 | 307 | 287 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SAP } \\ & \text { BELPK } \end{aligned}$ | 15 | 1,405 | 64,571 | 19.2 | 55,567 | 16.5 |
| 122 | Midtown | 2,046 | 199 | 22 | 311 | 289 | PANS OPS | 30 | 710 | 32,529 | 15.9 | 28,221 | 13.8 |
| 123 A | Midtown | 2,685 | 287 | 21 | 305 | 284 | $\begin{gathered} \text { SAP } \\ \text { BELPK } \end{gathered}$ | 15 | 646 | 33,699 | 12.6 | 29,603 | 11.0 |
| 123 B | Midtown | 2,174 | 241 | 21 | 309 | 288 | PANS OPS | 30 | 501 | 25,637 | 11.8 | 22,607 | 10.4 |
| 124 B | Midtown | 8,349 | 503 | 26 | 203 | 177 | SAP HP | 15 | 4,584 | 113,209 | 13.6 | 99,013 | 11.9 |
| 127 A | Midtown | 4,802 | 410 | 21 | 241 | 220 | $\begin{gathered} \text { SAP } \\ \text { BELPK } \end{gathered}$ | 15 | 1,779 | 65,906 | 13.7 | 57,604 | 12.0 |
| 136 A | Southern | 1,745 | 201 | 4 | 257 | 253 | PANS OPS | 30 | 396 | 18,284 | 10.5 | 16,249 | 9.3 |
| 136 B | Southern | 1,661 | 193 | 6 | 255 | 249 | PANS OPS | 30 | 373 | 17,076 | 10.3 | 15,196 | 9.1 |
| 136 C | Southern | 1,322 | 161 | 3 | 246 | 243 | PANS OPS | 30 | 288 | 13,071 | 9.9 | 11,665 | 8.8 |
| 136 D | Southern | 1,426 | 170 | 4 | 245 | 241 | PANS OPS | 30 | 325 | 14,427 | 10.1 | 12,853 | 9.0 |
| 137 A | Southern | 5,528 | 323 | 9 | 223 | 214 | $\begin{gathered} \text { SAP } \\ \text { BELPK } \end{gathered}$ | 15 | 3,201 | 104,025 | 18.8 | 89,587 | 16.2 |
| 138 | Southern | 2,624 | 205 | 4 | 221 | 217 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SAP } \\ & \text { BELPK } \end{aligned}$ | 15 | 1,236 | 42,666 | 16.3 | 36,977 | 14.1 |
| 168 A1 | South <br> Ultimo | 7,804 | 360 | 15 | 243 | 228 | PANS OPS | 30 | 5,180 | 133,065 | 17.1 | 115,073 | 14.7 |
| 168 A2 | South <br> Ultimo | 8,327 | 373 | 14 | 243 | 229 | PANS OPS | 30 | 5,598 | 135,626 | 16.3 | 117,530 | 14.1 |
| 161 A1 | South <br> Ultimo | 4,537 | 284 | 7 | 243 | 236 | PANS OPS | 30 | 2,525 | 85,565 | 18.9 | 73,683 | 16.2 |
| 161 A2 | South <br> Ultimo | 3,667 | 251 | 5 | 243 | 238 | PANS OPS | 30 | 1,918 | 66,390 | 18.1 | 57,266 | 15.6 |
| 166 A | South <br> Ultimo | 2,159 | 188 | 7 | 243 | 236 | PANS OPS | 30 | 907 | 32,726 | 15.2 | 28,457 | 13.2 |

B_14 Continued
Commercial Development Capacity of identified sites

| Map Reference | Precinct | Site Area (sqm) | Site Perimeter (m) | Highest Site Ground Level (RL AHD) | Average Maximum Potential Height (RL AHD) | Maximum Height Above Ground (m) | Prevailing Height Contro | Roof/ Construction Zone ( $m$ ) | Tower Zone Area (sqm) | Total Floor Space High (sqm) | Floor Space Ratio High (X:1) | Total Floor Space Moderate (sqm) | Floor Space Ratio Moderate (X:1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SA | SP | SGL | AMPH | MH_AGL | PHC | RCZ | TZA | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL_FS } \\ \text { high } \end{gathered}$ |  | TOTAL_FS mod |  |
| 166 B | South <br> Ultimo | 2,815 | 215 | 5 | 243 | 238 | PANS OPS | 30 | 1,351 | 47,650 | 16.9 | 41,220 | 14.6 |
| 162 A1 | South Ultimo | 3,967 | 253 | 11 | 243 | 232 | PANS OPS | 30 | 2,200 | 73,281 | 18.5 | 63,157 | 15.9 |
| 162 A2 | South <br> Ultimo | 3,849 | 258 | 11 | 243 | 232 | PANS OPS | 30 | 2,045 | 68,716 | 17.9 | 59,307 | 15.4 |
| 162 A3 | South <br> Ultimo | 3,744 | 248 | 11 | 243 | 232 | PANS OPS | 30 | 2,018 | 67,622 | 18.1 | 58,335 | 15.6 |
| 165 A1 | South <br> Ultimo | 5,593 | 308 | 9 | 243 | 234 | PANS OPS | 30 | 3,386 | 111,887 | 20.0 | 96,129 | 17.2 |
| 165 A2 | South <br> Ultimo | 5,727 | 307 | 13 | 243 | 230 | PANS OPS | 30 | 3,530 | 114,144 | 19.9 | 98,082 | 17.1 |
| 165 A3 | South Ultimo | 5,121 | 290 | 15 | 243 | 228 | PANS OPS | 30 | 3,057 | 98,537 | 19.2 | 84,786 | 16.6 |
| 165 B | South <br> Ultimo | 2,206 | 205 | 15 | 243 | 228 | PANS OPS | 30 | 821 | 29,572 | 13.4 | 25,880 | 11.7 |
| 163 | South <br> Ultimo | 2,409 | 202 | 9 | 243 | 234 | PANS OPS | 30 | 1,051 | 37,260 | 15.5 | 32,367 | 13.4 |
| 164 A1 | South <br> Ultimo | 3,192 | 225 | 10 | 243 | 233 | PANS OPS | 30 | 1,650 | 56,034 | 17.6 | 48,396 | 15.2 |
| 164 A2 | South <br> Ultimo | 3,561 | 368 | 11 | 243 | 232 | PANS OPS | 30 | 873 | 36,544 | 10.3 | 32,525 | 9.1 |
| 164 A3 | South <br> Ultimo | 3,592 | 280 | 15 | 243 | 228 | PANS OPS | 30 | 1,607 | 55,175 | 15.4 | 47,946 | 13.3 |
| 164 B | South <br> Ultimo | 2,533 | 207 | 16 | 243 | 227 | PANS OPS | 30 | 1,133 | 38,728 | 15.3 | 33,662 | 13.3 |
| 151 | Southern | 2,126 | 197 | 12 | 243 | 231 | PANS OPS | 30 | 803 | 29,177 | 13.7 | 25,502 | 12.0 |
| 152 | Southern | 3,051 | 230 | 5 | 287 | 282 | PANS OPS | 30 | 1,469 | 61,345 | 20.1 | 52,695 | 17.3 |
| 153A | Southern | 9,786 | 562 | 13 | 243 | 230 | PANS OPS | 30 | 5,547 | 141,698 | 14.5 | 123,498 | 12.6 |
| 149 | Southern | 2,925 | 241 | 15 | 243 | 228 | PANS OPS | 30 | 1,252 | 43,461 | 14.9 | 37,829 | 12.9 |
| 156 A | Southern | 5,923 | 339 | 9 | 146 | 137 | $\begin{gathered} \text { SAP } \\ \text { BELPK } \end{gathered}$ | 15 | 3,466 | 72,382 | 12.2 | 63,686 | 10.8 |
| 158 | Southern | 2,761 | 253 | 10 | 243 | 233 | PANS OPS | 30 | 993 | 36,856 | 13.3 | 32,262 | 11.7 |
| 156 A | Southern | 5,923 | 339 | 9 | 146 | 137 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SAP } \\ & \text { BELPK } \end{aligned}$ | 15 | 3,466 | 72,382 | 12.2 | 63,686 | 10.8 |
| 158 | Southern | 2,761 | 253 | 10 | 243 | 233 | PANS OPS | 30 | 993 | 36,856 | 13.3 | 32,262 | 11.7 |

## Part 3 - Comparison with existing controls

The potential growth scenarios are compared with existing built floor space from the Floor Space Employment Study and existing maximum floor space controls and the higher value used in each case.
The codes used for the comparison study are described in B_15.

A precinct summary is shown in $\mathrm{B}_{-} 16$ and a full comparison is presented in B_17.

## B_15

Description of Codes for Existing Floor Space Controls

| Code | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| EMFS | Existing Maximum Floor Space <br> (from SLEP 2012 controls) |
| FSES_FS | Floor Space Counted in the Floor Space Employment <br> Survey (2012) |

## B_16

Precinct Summary - Comparison of Capacity to Floor Space
Employment Survey (FSES) and Tier 1/2 Floor Space

| Precinct | TOTAL_FS High above FSES_FS | TOTAL_FS High above EMFS | TOTAL_FS Moderate above FSES_FS | TOTAL_FS Moderate above EMFS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City Core | 485,452 | 299,344 | 330,332 | 150,475 |
| City Core South | 40,147 | 8,142 | 7,677 | 3,723 |
| Western | 604,079 | 481,398 | 364,910 | 459,054 |
| Midtown | 582,561 | 219,582 | 103,693 | 340,483 |
| Southern | $1,112,250$ | $3,205,888$ | $1,857,953$ | 354,188 |
| South Ultimo |  |  | 869,308 | 13,137 |
| Total |  |  |  | 135,653 |

## B_17

Comparison of Capacity to Floor Space Employment Survey (FSES)
and Existing Maximum Floor Space (EMSF)

| Map Reference | Precinct | Existing Floor Space from FSES (FSES_FS) | Existing FSR from FSES | Existing Maximum Floor Space (EMFS) | Existing Maximum FSR | TOTAL_FS High above FSES_FS | TOTAL_FS High above EMFS | TOTAL_FS Moderate above FSES_FS | TOTAL_FS Moderate above EMFS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 011 A | Western | 75,660 | 9.63 | 86,460 | 11 | +76,757 | +65,957 | +55,447 | +44,647 |
| 48 | Western | 67,235 | 15.92 | 46,464 | 11 | -18,178 | +2,593 | -23,936 | $-3,165$ |
| 113B | Western | 37,210 | 6.81 | 38,269 | 7 | +10,288 | +9,229 | +5,609 | +4,550 |
| 113 C | Western | 51,870 | 9.58 | 37,905 | 7 | +32,213 | +46,178 | +21,158 | +35,123 |
| 49 A | Western | 99,122 | 13.63 | 80,014 | 11 | +80,556 | +99,664 | +54,140 | +73,248 |
| 50 A | Western | 55,258 | 8.1 | 75,053 | 11 | +38,798 | +19,003 | +26,930 | +7,135 |
| 61 A1 | Western | 40,705 | 8.2 | 54,637 | 11 | +88,737 | +74,805 | +69,529 | +55,597 |
| 61 A2 | Western | 40,705 | 8.39 | 53,361 | 11 | +68,800 | +56,144 | +52,972 | +40,316 |
| 62 A | Western | 11,465 | 2.25 | 56,056 | 11 | +113,581 | +68,990 | +95,219 | +50,628 |
| 73 A | Western | 11,465 | 4.04 | 31,185 | 11 | +20,169 | +449 | +16,529 | -3,191 |
| 115 | Western | 14,953 | 3.48 | 47,234 | 11 | +74,179 | +41,898 | +61,521 | +29,240 |
| 26 A1 | City Core | 48,437 | 13.33 | 49,954 | 13.75 | +11,275 | +9,758 | +3,286 | +1,770 |
| 26 A2 | City Core | 48,437 | 11.87 | 56,086 | 13.75 | +10,828 | +3,179 | +3,207 | -4,443 |
| 27 | City Core | 39,347 | 7.1 | 76,189 | 13.75 | +58,190 | +21,348 | +44,885 | +8,043 |
| 28 A | City Core | 74,407 | 8.93 | 114,538 | 13.75 | +59,431 | +19,300 | +41,647 | +1,516 |
| 28 C | City Core | 32,656 | 7.48 | 60,005 | 13.75 | +84,846 | +57,497 | +67,316 | +39,967 |
| 29 C | City Core | 38,162 | 12.37 | 42,419 | 13.75 | +31,462 | +27,205 | +21,399 | +17,142 |
| 34 A | City Core | 110,032 | 13.2 | 114,579 | 13.75 | +14,516 | +9,970 | -1,656 | -6,202 |
| 43 B | City Core | 40,797 | 11.05 | 50,765 | 13.75 | +38,086 | +28,117 | +26,812 | +16,844 |
| 44 A | City Core | 79,277 | 11.73 | 92,936 | 13.75 | +102,969 | +89,310 | +75,773 | +62,114 |
| 46 | City Core | 95,765 | 16.92 | 77,811 | 13.75 | -9,443 | +8,511 | -20,727 | -2,773 |
| 54 A | City Core | 62,087 | 8.95 | 95,356 | 13.75 | +51,535 | +18,266 | +36,348 | +3,079 |

B 17 Continued
Comparison of Capacity to Floor Space Employment Survey (FSES) and Existing Maximum Floor Space (EMSF)

| Map Reference | Precinct |  | Existing FSR from FSES | Existing Maximum Floor Space (EMFS) | Existing Maximum FSR | TOTAL_FS High above FSES_FS | TOTAL_FS High above EMFS | TOTAL_FS Moderate above FSES_FS | TOTAL FS Moderate above EMFS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 55 A1 | City Core | 47,690 | 11.09 | 59,111 | 13.75 | +18,304 | +6,882 | +9,659 | -1,762 |
| 55 A2 | City Core | 47,690 | 10.78 | 60,803 | 13.75 | +4,012 | -9,101 | -2,076 | -15,188 |
| 76 D | City Core South | 22,369 | 9.35 | 26,323 | 11 | +12,096 | +8,142 | +7,677 | +3,723 |
| 89 | City Core South | 27,943 | 12.82 | 29,982 | 13.75 | +28,051 | -1,930 | -3,337 | $-5,375$ |
| 96 | Midtown | 44,039 | 9.94 | 60,912 | 13.75 | +74,775 | +13,863 | +20,654 | +3,781 |
| 116 B | Midtown | 42,611 | 12.66 | 46,293 | 13.75 | +51,650 | +18,278 | +12,956 | +9,274 |
| 122 | Midtown | 5,649 | 2.76 | 28,139 | 13.75 | +32,529 | +4,390 | +22,572 | +82 |
| 123 A | Midtown | 10,358 | 3.86 | 36,927 | 13.75 | +28,050 | -3,228 | +19,245 | -7,324 |
| 123 B | Midtown | 4,575 | 2.1 | 29,899 | 13.75 | +15,279 | -4,262 | +18,032 | -7,292 |
| 124 B | Midtown | 88,778 | 10.63 | 114,824 | 13.75 | +113,209 | $-1,615$ | +10,235 | -15,811 |
| 127 A | Midtown | 78,212 | 16.29 | 66,042 | 13.75 | +65,906 | -136 | -20,608 | -8,438 |
| 120 C | Southern | 27,188 | 5.12 | 55,490 | 10.45 | +83,980 | +28,491 | +45,687 | +17,386 |
| 135 | Southern | 5,963 | 4.9 | 12,058 | 9.9 | -13,831 | +1,298 | +5,870 | -225 |
| 136 A | Southern | 13,535 | 7.76 | 17,276 | 9.9 | +12,321 | +1,008 | +2,714 | $-1,027$ |
| 136 B | Southern | 5,740 | 3.46 | 16,444 | 9.9 | +3,541 | +632 | +9,456 | -1,248 |
| 136 C | Southern | 2,571 | 1.94 | 13,088 | 9.9 | +7,331 | -17 | +9,094 | -1,423 |
| 136 D | Southern | 2,239 | 1.57 | 14,117 | 9.9 | +11,856 | +310 | +10,614 | $-1,264$ |
| 137 A | Southern | 27,215 | 4.92 | 54,727 | 9.9 | +101,786 | +49,297 | +62,372 | +34,860 |
| 138 | Southern | 7,679 | 2.93 | 25,978 | 9.9 | +42,666 | +16,689 | +29,298 | +10,999 |
| 168 A1 | South Ultimo | 8,167 | 1.05 | 31,216 | 4 | +133,065 | +101,849 | +106,906 | +83,857 |
| 168 A2 | South Ultimo | 8,167 | . 98 | 33,308 | 4 | +135,626 | +102,318 | +109,363 | +84,222 |
| 161 A1 | South Ulitimo | 8,167 | 1.8 | 18,148 | 4 | +85,565 | +67,417 | +65,516 | +55,535 |

B 17 Continued
Comparison of Capacity to Floor Space Employment Survey (FSES) and Existing Maximum Floor Space (EMSF)

| Map Reference | Precinct |  | Existing FSR from FSES | Existing Maximum Floor Space (EMFS) | Existing Maximum FSR | TOTAL_FS High above FSES_FS | TOTAL_FS High above EMFS | TOTAL_FS Moderate above FSES_FS | TOTAL FS Moderate above EMFS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 161 A2 | South Ultimo | 8,167 | 2.23 | 14,668 | 4 | +58,223 | +51,722 | +49,099 | +42,598 |
| 166 A | South Ultimo | 3,907 | 1.81 | 8,636 | 4 | +24,559 | +24,090 | +24,550 | +19,821 |
| 166 B | South Ultimo | 15,500 | 5.51 | 14,075 | 5 | +43,743 | +33,575 | +25,720 | +27,145 |
| 162 A1 | South Ultimo | 8,205 | 2.07 | 39,273 | 9.9 | +73,281 | +34,008 | +54,952 | +23,884 |
| 162 A2 | South Ultimo | 8,205 | 2.13 | 38,105 | 9.9 | +60,511 | +30,611 | +51,102 | +21,202 |
| 162 A3 | South Ultimo | 8,205 | 2.19 | 37,066 | 9.9 | +59,417 | +30,557 | +50,130 | +21,269 |
| 165 A1 | South Ultimo | 24,941 | 4.46 | 27,965 | 5 | +111,887 | +83,922 | +71,188 | +68,164 |
| 165 A2 | South Ultimo | 24,941 | 4.35 | 28,635 | 5 | +89,203 | +85,509 | +73,141 | +69,447 |
| 165 A3 | South Ultimo | 24,941 | 4.87 | 25,605 | 5 | +73,596 | +72,932 | +59,845 | +59,181 |
| 165 B | South Ultimo | 523 | . 24 | 11,030 | 5 | +4,631 | +18,542 | +25,357 | +14,850 |
| 163 | South Ultimo | 6,201 | 2.57 | 23,849 | 9.9 | +36,737 | +13,410 | +26,166 | +8,518 |
| 164 A1 | South Ultimo | 21,425 | 6.71 | 31,601 | 9.9 | +56,034 | +24,433 | +26,971 | +16,795 |
| 164 A2 | South Ultimo | 21,425 | 6.02 | 35,257 | 9.9 | +15,119 | +1,287 | +11,100 | -2,732 |
| 164 A3 | South Ultimo | 21,425 | 5.96 | 35,564 | 9.9 | +33,750 | +19,611 | +26,521 | +12,382 |
| 164 B | South Ultimo | 21,981 | 8.68 | 25,077 | 9.9 | +17,303 | +13,651 | +11,681 | +8,585 |
| 151 | Southern | 10,503 | 4.94 | 21,047 | 9.9 | +7,196 | +8,130 | +14,999 | +4,454 |
| 152 | Southern | 10,470 | 3.43 | 30,205 | 9.9 | +50,842 | +31,140 | +42,225 | +22,490 |
| 153A | Southern | 41,634 | 4.25 | 96,881 | 9.9 | +131,228 | +44,816 | +81,864 | +26,616 |
| 149 | Southern | 9,587 | 3.28 | 28,958 | 9.9 | +43,461 | +14,504 | +28,242 | +8,872 |
| 156 A | Southern | 55,498 | 9.37 | 58,638 | 9.9 | +49,497 | +13,744 | +8,188 | +5,048 |
| 158 | Southern | 28,696 | 10.39 | 27,334 | 9.9 | +36,856 | +9,522 | +3,566 | +4,929 |
| 158 | Southern | 28,696 | 10.39 | 27,334 | 9.9 | +36,856 | +9,522 | +3,566 | +4,929 |

## Conclusion

The strategy of increasing heights and floor space ratios creates opportunities for additional floor space. Tested sites that will yield higher amounts of floor space than existing maximum floor space controls could add between 1.2-1.8 million sqm of development potential. This could equate to between 2.1-3.2 million square metres of additional floor space being added above the existing built floor space in a maximum efficiency scenario, the likely addition being in the order of half this amount.

## Limitations

The capacity study:

- is not a feasibility analysis
- assumes maximum potential amalgamation of sites
- does not reflect growth potential for all sites
- does not assess design merit of notional tower forms
- includes most but not all Special Character Area and View Corridor setbacks
- reflects commercial building efficiencies and setbacks

Notes:

- Residential buildings will have higher height efficiency but lower horizontal sectional efficiency. They will also have significantly lower maximum tower zone area and higher side and rear setbacks. Overall this means that the amount of available floor space will be significantly less than reflected in this report for a moderate-high proportion of predominantly residential developments.
- 15-30\% building articulation may result in relatively unarticulated building forms

